UNIVERSITE PARIS-SACLAY

Algorithms for Data Science Data Streams I

Slides provided by: **Silviu Maniu**, Presented by: **Pierre-Henri Paris** September 26th, 2024

M2 Data Science

Data Streams

Sampling Items from Streams

Filtering Elements in a Stream

Sensor Data / Internet of Things



High-Speed Trading



Databases assume that the entirety of datasets are available offline

This is not always true – sometimes data is only **online**:

- Twitter status updates, queries on search engines
- data from sensor networks
- telephone calls
- IP packets on the Internet
- high-speed trading data

Input rate is **controlled externally** – so the data processor has no control over the speed of the data

Data streams are:

- infinite one does not know the size of the data
- non-stationary the distributions of the data can change (seasonally, daily, hourly)

Model: infinite sequence of items $S = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \ldots)$

Stream Processing Model



Objective: asking queries on the stream – standing and ad-hoc

Restrictions: storage space and processing time – have to process it or we lose it forever!

If we had enough memory / time - data streams would be easy

With restrictions:

- more efficient to get **approximate** answers
- use space-saving techniques such as hashing

- Sample data from a stream
- Filtering items
- Counting distinct elements
- Estimating moments
- Queries over sliding windows

Data Streams

Sampling Items from Streams

Filtering Elements in a Stream

Objective: keeping a **representative** sample of the items in the stream – to deal with the limited space issues

Sub-problems:

- \cdot sample a fixed proportion of elements
- \cdot keep a sample of fixed size

Objective keep a proportion **p** of items in a stream

First solution:

- say, e.g., we want to keep **1** in **10** elements
- $\cdot\,$ for each item, we can generate a random number from ${\bf 0}$ to ${\bf 9}$
- \cdot keep the item only we generate **o**

Stream: tuples of (user, query, time) – queries of users on a search engine

Problem: how often does an user run the same query – what fraction of queries are duplicates

Issue of the above solution (assume we have space for **10%** of the stream):

- suppose a queries are only once, b queries are double, total a + 2b corect answer is b/(a + b)
- \cdot prob. we see the singleton queries a/10
- prob. we see a double query twice b/100 = b imes 1/10 imes 1/10
- prob. we see a double query only once $18b/100 = (1/10 \times 9/10 + 9/10 \times 1/10)b$
- hence our **wrong** estimation is

b

It is better to sample the users, instead of the queries – so we sample **all the queries** of a proportion of the users

• this can be done by hashing strings to integers

Takeaway: one has to be careful what sample one keeps, depending on the applications

Assume we have **to keep a sample of exactly s items** – i.e., max space in memory

Objective: each item in the stream *S* should be in the *s* with equal probability – after *n* items prob. should be s/n

Reservoir Sampling Algorithm [Vitter, 1985]

- 1. store first s elements in the stream in the sample
- 2. when element *n* arrives (n > s)
 - with probability s/n keep the element, else discard
 - if the element is kept, it replace one element in the sample (chosen randomly)

Claim the algorithm maintains a sample s with the desired property – each item is in s with probability s/n

Proof (induction):

- base case: first s elements are in the sample with probability $s/s=\mathbf{1}$
- inductive hypothesis: after n elements, the sample contains each element with prob. s/n

Claim the algorithm maintains a sample s with the desired property – each item is in s with probability s/n

Proof (induction):

- inductive step: element *n* + 1 arrives
 - probability that it is kept in **s** is

$$\left(1-\frac{s}{n+1}\right)+\frac{s}{n+1}\cdot\frac{s-1}{s}=\frac{n}{n+1}$$

- at time *n* tuples are in the sample with prob. s/n, and are kept with probability n/n + 1
- \cdot so the probability that they "survive" in the sample at time n + 1 is

$$\frac{\mathsf{s}}{\mathsf{n}}\cdot\frac{\mathsf{n}}{\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{1}}=\frac{\mathsf{s}}{\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{1}}$$

Data Streams

Sampling Items from Streams

Filtering Elements in a Stream

Problem: we want to let only some items in the stream, but we do not have the space to store the keys for comparison

Motivating example – e-mail filtering

- large numbers of emails come every minute, a few of them are spam
- we cannot keep the list of good emails in main memory (to compare), but we still want to keep only non-spam emails
- solution: hashing

- 1. Set of item keys I that we want to keep / filter
- 2. Keep a **bit array B** of **n** bits, initialized to **o**
- 3. Choose a hash function h with range [0, n), and hash each $i \in I$ to one of the n buckets; i.e., set B[h(i)] = 1

Process: for each item s in the stream S, output it only if B[h(s)] = 1

No false negatives, but some false positives

• some spam emails might still get through



to 0 so it is surely not in I.

- A good hash function each item in the stream **S** is **equally likely** to hash to one of the **n** buckets
- Assume *m* unique items (e.g., e-mails addresses)

What is the probability that a spam email hashes to a good email bit?

• equivalent: throwing *m* darts at *n* target – what is the probability that a target gets at least one dart?

Probability of False Positives



Fraction of **1** in the array **B** is **Probability of false positives 1** – $e^{-m/n}$

- |I| 1 billion email addresses (**darts**)
- |**B**| 1GB = 8 billion bits (targets)

False positive rate: $1 - e^{-1/8} = 0.1175$

 \cdot 11% of the spam email passes through

Can we do better?

Bloom Filters [Bloom, 1970]

Structure:

- an array **B** of **n** bits, set to **o**
- a collection of hash function h₁, h₂, ..., h_k each mapping to the same n buckets
- set I of keys of item

Initialization:

• take each key $i \in I$ and hash it using each h_j ; set to 1 each bit in B that has $h_j(i) = 1$

Function:

• for each item s from the stream, check that $h_1(s), h_2(s), \ldots, h_k(s)$ all map to 1 in B; discard it otherwise

Equivalent: throwing *km* darts at *n* targets; fraction of 1 is

$$1 - e^{\frac{-km}{n}}$$

We have *k* independent hash functions; elements *s* only passes if all *k* hash to a bucket of 1

False Positive Probability

$$\left(1-e^{-\frac{km}{n}}\right)^k$$

The false positive probability changes with the number *k* of hash functions!



Optimal Number of Hash Functions

$$k = \frac{n}{m} \ln 2$$

- Can **optimize** the space taken, while having **no false negatives** and minimizing **false positives**
- Can be implemented efficiently parallel hash functions
- Can divide **B** in **k** parts **equivalent** but simpler to keep one bit array

The contents and some figures taken from Chapter 4 of [Leskovec et al., 2020]. https://www.mmds.org/

Bloom, B. H. (1970).

Space/time trade-offs in hash coding with allowable errors. *Commun. ACM*, 13(7):422–426.

Leskovec, J., Rajaraman, A., and Ullman, J. (2020).
Mining of Massive Datasets.
Cambridge University Press.

) Vitter, J. S. (1985).

Random sampling with a reservoir.

ACM Trans. Math. Softw., 11(1):37–57.